# NORTH MYRTLE BEACH HIGH 3750 Sea Mountain Highway Little River, SC 29566 9-12 High School GRADES 1,113 Students ENROLLMENT Porter Kennington 843-399-6171 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Gerrita Postlewait 843-488-6700 BOARD CHAIR Will Garland 843-358-8002 THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 18 17 5 1 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: GOOD ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 21 out of 21 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM

WWW.SCEOC.ORG

### PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Good	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	No
2004	Excellent	Good	Yes

### DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

# HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	80.2	N/A	N/A	76.0	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	8.7	N/A	N/A	13.2	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	11.0	N/A	N/A	11.1	N/A	N/A	

# Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Percent 97.4% 94.7%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	16.9	13.6
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	16.9	13.8
Seniors who met the grade point average	60.7	49.4

<sup>\*</sup>Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	233	203		
Number of Diplomas	195	155		
Rate	83.7%	76.2%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS										
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		•	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate				
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective			
All Students	192	97.4	201	16.9	233	83.7	YES			
Gender										
Male	91	96.7	91	19.8	119	76.5	N/A			
Female	100	98.0	110	14.5	114	91.2	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
White	131	99.2	117	22.2	137	83.2	N/A			
African-American	57	94.7	78	10.3	90	84.4				
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Hispanic	2	I/S	1	I/S	2	I/S	N/A			
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A			
Racial/Ethnic Group										
Non disabled	169	97.0	175	19.4	194	86.6	N/A			
Disabilities other than speech	23	100.0	26	0.0	39	69.2	YES			
Migrant Status										
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A			
Non-migrant	186	97.3	201	16.9	N/A	N/A	N/A			
English Proficiency										
Limited English Proficient	1	I/S	1	I/S	2	I/S	N/A			
Non-Limited English Proficient	187	97.3	200	17.0	231	83.5	N/A			
Socio-Economic Status										
Subsidized meals	63	95.2	116	7.8	107	79.4	N/A			
Full-pay meals	124	98.4	85	29.4	126	87.3	N/A			

HSAP PERFORMANCE				ш,	Щ,			ш,ш	щ,
	Enrollment 1st	؍ اھ	% Below Basis	} /	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation Oct.
	# J	Fested %	, \ 8	% Basic	ˈ / ˈj͡g	,	رَ إِذَا ا	e   E	N / ited
	\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}	-   %	/ ½	/ %	1 %	4	\\dig(\frac{1}{2}\)		[ / ig
	\\g	/ 🖺	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % 4	å 3	ه ۱۵
Engl	/ ish/Langua		/ State Perf		/	/			
All Students	269	97.8	14.0	27.6	31.9	26.5	66.9	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	146	97.3	15.0	27.9	32.9	24.3	65.0	N/A	N/A
Female	123	98.4	12.8	27.4	30.8	29.1	69.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	175	97.1	4.8	24.2	34.5	36.4	79.4	YES	YE
African-American	87	98.9	30.6	36.5	25.9	7.1	42.4	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	217	98.6	6.7	24.8	36.2	32.4	76.7	N/A	N/
Disabled	52	94.2	46.8	40.4	12.8	N/A	23.4	YES	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	269	97.8	14.0	27.6	31.9	26.5	66.9	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	267	97.8	13.3	27.8	32.2	26.7	67.5	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	129	97.7	21.7	39.2	25.0	14.2	47.5	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	140	97.9	7.3	17.5	38.0	37.2	83.9	N/A	N/
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	269	97.4	14.8	25.0	32.0	28.1	69.5	YES	YE
Gender									
Male	146	96.6	13.7	24.5	31.7	30.2	70.5	N/A	N/
Female	123	98.4	16.2	25.6	32.5	25.6	68.4	N/A	N/
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	175	96.6	7.9	20.7	35.4	36.0	81.1	YES	YE
African-American	87	98.9	28.2	34.1	25.9	11.8	45.9	YES	YE
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/
Hispanic	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	217	98.2	6.7	22.5	36.4	34.4	80.9	N/A	N/
Disabled	52	94.2	51.1	36.2	12.8	N/A	19.1	YES	YE
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/
Non-Migrant	269	97.4	14.8	25.0	32.0	28.1	69.5	N/A	N/
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	2	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/
Non-Limited English Proficient	267	97.4	14.6	25.2	31.9	28.3	69.7	N/A	N/
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	129	97.7	20.0	32.5	32.5	15.0	59.2	YES	YE
Full-pay meals	140	97.1	10.3	18.4	31.6	39.7	78.7	N/A	N/

## **Abbreviations for Missing Data**

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

## DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

North Myrtle Beach High				2601002
SCHOOL PROFILE				
	Our School	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 1,113)				
Retention rate Attendance rate	2.4% 99.5%	Down from 6.2% Up from 96.2%	9.4% 95.7%	9.1% 96.0%
Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech	14.0% 17.2%	Down from 14.4% Up from 16.8%	8.6% 12.5%	5.8% 12.7%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	5.3% 5.8%	Down from 8.6% Up from 4.0%	9.6% 1.6%	9.8% 1.6%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	8.5% 41.5%	Up from 0.5%	8.8% 50.9%	10.2% 53.8%
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	2.6% 8.7%	Down from 2.8% Up from 8.4%	3.2% 3.6%	2.7% 3.6%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	642	Up from 548	407	466
Students participating in worked-based experiences	15.1%	Up from 8.3%	23.8%	25.7%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	80.5%	Up from 75.0%	80.5%	77.7%
Career/technology completers placed	98.5%	Up from 97.6%	97.9%	99.3%
Teachers (n= 68)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	44.1%	Up from 40.0%	50.6%	52.0%
Continuing contract teachers	75.0%	Down from 86.2%	84.2%	82.1%
Highly qualified teachers** Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	83.7% 8.5%	N/A	91.2% 6.4%	89.5% 8.6%
Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate	86.2% 95.9%	Up from 85.3% Up from 95.8%	86.3% 95.6%	86.2% 95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	\$42,491 8.6 days	Up 0.5% Down from 9.4 days	\$40,576 10.3 days	\$41,060 10.6 days
School	•	,	,	•
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	5.0 28.5 to 1	Up from 4.0 Down from 30.7 to 1	6.0 27.4 to 1	3.0 26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	94.3%	Up from 91.4%	90.2%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$6,764	Up 3.4%	\$6,229	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	57.1%	Up from 55.8%	57.6%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	Up from Good	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	86.8% Yes	Down from 99.0% No change	91.7% Yes	89.3% Yes
Character development program  * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	Excellent	N/A	Good	Good
Highly gualified to all are in lawy and	Our District		ate	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty	87.9% 92.8%		.0%	
Highly qualified teachers in high povert	y schools."	92.8% State Objective		.1% Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school	**	65.0%		es
Student attendance in this school		95.3%	Υ	es

<sup>\*\*</sup>NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

#### REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The 2003-04 school year has been an outstanding one at NMBHS! The Class of 2004 received in excess of \$3.5 million in scholarships; 28% qualified for LIFE Scholarships to 4-year colleges while 52% qualified for LIFE Scholarships to 2-year institutions. All athletic teams except two qualified for the state playoffs. The girls' volleyball team and the boys' golf team won Region Championships and the boys' golf team finished as state AAA runners-up.

The first year of the Freshman Academy was extremely successful. Failure rates for 9th graders were cut significantly. Over 90% of all ninth grade students passed the state end-of-course test in Algebra I. Student discipline referrals and suspensions were down significantly. 9th grade student attendance rose by a percentage point!

The fine arts program earned tremendous respect during the year. Visual arts students were recognized for winning several regional and district contests. The instrumental music program consistently receives superior ratings in regional competitions. The new orchestra program is growing in both numbers and quality. The drama/choral music presented two concerts and two outstanding drama productions during the year.

Our NJROTC unit was recognized as one of the top units in the country by being named Distinguished Unit with Academic Honors. One member of the unit received an appointment to the Air Force Academy. Numerous regional and statewide awards were won and over 4,000 community service hours were logged by the cadets during the 2003-04 school year.

Porter Kennington, Principal Paul Wood, School Improvement Council Chairperson 2003-04

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*			
Number of surveys returned	66	96	0			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	93.9%	74.7%	N/R			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	81.5%	76.3%	N/R			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	59.4%	81.9%	N/R			
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools with	out grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.			